SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE-BASED OVERSIGHT VISIT REPORT* 2023-2024 SCHOOL YEAR FOR

Name and Location Code of Charter School

LAUSD Vision

L.A. Unified will be a progressive global leader in education, providing a dynamic and inspiring learning experience where all students graduate ready for success.

CSD Mission

The LAUSD Charter Schools Division (CSD) fosters high quality educational opportunities and outcomes for students in the greater Los Angeles community through exemplary charter public school authorizing, oversight, and sharing of promising practices so that all students maximize their potential.

CSD Core Values

We believe that our success depends on:

- Making decisions that put the interests of students first.
- Serving with high expectations, integrity, professionalism, and commitment.
- Employing authentic, responsive, and effective leadership and teamwork.
- Continuously learning as a dynamic organization.
- Building and sustaining a healthy workplace culture where high performance, diversity, and creativity thrive.
- Developing productive relationships with our charter schools and all stakeholders.

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page 1 of 40

^{*} Charter School shall comply in a timely manner with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, as they may change from time to time, including but not limited to matters related to the school's governance, programs, facilities, operations, and/or fiscal management. Elements of the oversight process may be modified as LAUSD continues to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. To support the well-being of all at a school site, all are expected to follow applicable COVID-19 public health guidelines, including related District requirements for operations at District facilities. The assigned CSD Administrator will provide any updated information reach out with more details, as needed.



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Charter School Name:								Location Code		
									; <u> </u>	
Current Address: City			City:			ZIP Code:	Phone:		Fax:	
Current Term of Charte	r¹:				LAU	SD Board	d District:	LAUSD Region	n:	
July 1, 20xx to June 30, 2	20xx									
Number of Students Cur	rently Enrolled:	Enroll	ment Capa	acity Per Char	rter:	Numbe	r Above/Below Enro	llment Capacity		
	<u> </u>		-			(day of		1 0		
Grades Currently Served	d:	Grades	s To Be Se	rved Per Chai	rter:	Percent	t Above/Below Enrol	lment Capacity		
					(day of visit):					
Norm Enrollment Numb	er:									
Total Number of Staff M	lembers:			Certificat	ted:			Classified:		
Charter School's Leader	ship Team Memb	ers:								
Charter School's Contac	t for Special Educ	cation:				SELPA & Option:				
CSD Assigned Administr	rator:						CSD Fiscal Oversight Manager:			
Other School/CSD Team	Members:									
Oversight Visit Date(s):							Fiscal Review Date	(if different):		
Is school located on a District facility? If so, please indicate					LAUSD Co-Location Campus(es)					
the applicable program (e.g., Prop 39, PSC, conversion, etc.):):			(if applicable):				
Certificate of Occupancy (COO) or Temporary Certificate of				of			COO/TCO Approv	ed Grade		
Occupancy Type: (if a To	CO, please note ex	xpiratio	n date)				Levels and Occupa			

SUMMARY OF RATINGS $(4) = Accomplished (3) = Proficient (2) = Developing (1) = Unsatisfactory$					
Governance Student Achievement and Educational Performance		Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations	Fiscal Operations		
Choose a rating	Choose a rating	Choose a rating	Choose a rating		

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page 2 of 40

¹ Education Code section 47607.4 provides that "all charter schools whose term expires on or between January 1, 2022, and June 30, 2025, inclusive, shall have their term extended by two years, and all charter schools whose term expires on or between January 1, 2024, and June 30, 2027, inclusive, shall have their term extended by one additional year."

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

CHARTER RENEWAL CRITERIA

In accordance with Education Code §§ 47605, 47607, and 47607.2, in order to renew a charter, the District must determine whether the charter school has met specific renewal criteria prescribed in statute.

REPORT GUIDE

LAUSD's oversight procedures are intended to balance a charter school's autonomy of operation with its accountability to the public. LAUSD utilizes a holistic, performance-based approach to evaluate all charter schools, guided principally by making decisions in the best interest of students. The CSD observes and monitors each charter school in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, LAUSD policy, memoranda of understanding, and the school's operative charter. Information gathered through oversight serves as part of the charter school's ongoing record for the District to make informed decisions about charter school authorization, renewal, material revisions, sharing of promising practices, and if need be, revocation. While LAUSD is responsible to provide oversight of its charter schools and the entities managing charter schools, the primary oversight of each charter school must first and foremost be performed by the charter school's own Governing Board. The Governing Board of a charter school has an ongoing responsibility to oversee the operations of its charter school(s), ensuring that every charter school it oversees is providing a high-quality educational program for students enrolled, is successfully fulfilling the terms of their charter, is fiscally sound, and complies with applicable laws, regulations, and court orders. In designing this document, the District has considered California charter school law, as well as the *LAUSD Policy and Procedures for Charter Schools*, California State Board of Education's criteria for evaluating charter schools, and the National Association of Charter School Authorizers' *Principles and Standards of Quality Authorizing*. This reporting tool provides guidelines and criteria used by the CSD to observe, record, assess, and reflect with the charter school on school performance as captured during the annual oversight visit process in these four categories:

<u>Governance</u> – demonstrating fulfillment of the Governing Board's fiduciary responsibility to effectively direct and provide oversight for the charter public school, including but not limited to enactment and monitoring of policies and procedures to ensure the school's full compliance with applicable law, policy, and the terms of the charter approved by the LAUSD Board of Education

Student Achievement and Educational Performance - demonstrating positive academic achievement and growth for all students

<u>Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations</u> – demonstrating effective leadership and implementation of the governing board's policies and procedures, as well as the school's educational program and systems and procedures for the day-to-day operations of the school

<u>Fiscal Operations</u> – demonstrating sound fiscal management, appropriate use of public funds, and compliance with regulatory requirements

This report, including the ratings in each category, is based on information and evidence gathered at the time of the annual oversight visit. The CSD considers evidence provided through CSD staff observations, document review, interviews, and discussion with school representatives and stakeholders. All charter schools are expected to prepare for the visit and have available, as applicable, all documentation requested in the *Annual Performance Based Oversight Visit Preparation Guide 2023-2024*. The "Sources of Evidence" sections below identify key information sources generally relevant to their respective indicators; these lists are not exhaustive, however, and some items may not be applicable to the grades served. Schools may present additional evidence as deemed relevant and appropriate. As needed, CSD staff also may request additional information and/or documentation prior to, during, and/or following the visit.

The tool employs the following four-point rubric to rate the school's performance in each category: (4) Accomplished, (3) Proficient, (2) Developing, and

(1) Unsatisfactory. In addition, the Summary of School Performance section in each category captures key findings under one or more of the following headings: (1) Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress (Note: potential "promising practices" are identified within this section with an asterisk [*]); (2) Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement; and, if applicable, (3) Corrective Action Required. Under "Corrective Action Required," the CSD reports findings of material noncompliance with applicable law, LAUSD charter policy, or the school's approved charter. If the report includes any findings under "Corrective Action Required," the charter school must take immediate and appropriate steps to remedy the identified concern. In accordance with its "tiered intervention" approach to charter school non-compliance and poor performance, the CSD may also send the school appropriate notices, separate and apart from this report, to provide and document time-specific follow-up as necessary. At the other end of the spectrum of performance, any school that earns a rating of Accomplished in any category is encouraged to submit to the CSD a summary of those "promising practices" that the school believes have contributed to its success, in order to support the CSD's ongoing efforts to promote and facilitate reciprocal sharing of promising practices among education leaders from across all LAUSD schools.

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **3** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

condition, fiscal mismanagement, and/or significant audit findings, etc.).

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

GOVERNANCE	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	
*NOTE: • A charter school may receive a rating of 1 in this category for any of the following reasons: (1) Evidence of conflict (s) of interest w	vithin the organization
(i.e. Governing Board, staff, contracted external parties, etc.), (2) School is in breach of the operative charter, including Feder	

Required Language, (3) School is "Not in Good Standing," and/or (4) If there are serious concerns related to fiscal matters (e.g., negative financial

A charter school cannot receive a rating greater than 3 in this category if it receives an overall rating of 2 or 1 in any other category (Student

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **4** of **40**

Achievement and Educational Performance; Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations; or Fiscal Operations).



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

G1: GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING – QUALITY INDICATOR

The Governin	ig Board	l has imp	olemented th	ie orga	nizational	l structure, roles	, and responsibiliti	es set forth in tl	he approved charter and	l applicable law, including:
	· D	1	• , •		1 1	*1 *1*.*		1 1 .		

- Governing Board composition, structure, roles, and responsibilities as set forth in the approved charter
- Governing Board committees/councils are implemented as described in the school's charter (e.g., Finance, Human Resources, Academics, etc.)
- The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure compliance with the public-integrity statutes including laws requiring open meetings and laws forbidding financial and other conflicts of interest.
- The Governing Board reviews and certifies the Compliance Monitoring and Certification of Board Compliance Review form

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The Governing Board has fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter, including all committees/councils; and has a system in place to ensure it consistently complies with the applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act, Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.) □ The Governing Board has implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter, including committees/councils; and has a system in place to ensure it complies with the applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act,. Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.) □ The Governing Board has partially implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter; and/or has an ineffective system in place to ensure it is complying with applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act, Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.) □ The Governing Board has not implemented the organizational structure set forth in the school's operative charter; and/or has no system in place to ensure it is complying with applicable open meeting requirements for local agencies (e.g., Brown Act, Gov. Code, § 54950 et seq.) 	 □ Organizational chart in approved charter □ Organizational chart (current) □ Board member roster □ Bylaws (current) □ Board Committee(s) Calendar(s) □ Compliance Monitoring and Certification of Board Compliance Review □ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s) □ Discussion with leadership □ Stakeholder focus group □ Other: (Specify)

G2: DUE PROCESS – QUALITY INDICATOR

The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides adequate due process, in accordance with applicable law, the school's charter, and LAUSD charter school policy, to honor and protect the rights of students, employees, parents, and the public in the following areas:

- Student discipline
- Employee grievances and discipline
- Stakeholder complaint resolution pursuant to the Uniform Complaint Procedures (UCP)
- Parent/stakeholder complaint resolution for complaints outside regulatory scope of UCP

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **5** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence					
Performance	 □ The Governing Board has well-developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public □ The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public □ The Governing Board has partially developed systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public □ The Governing Board has minimal or no systems in place to ensure that the school provides due process, in accordance with applicable law, the charter, and LAUSD charter policy, for students, employees, parents, and the public 	 ☐ Student Discipline Policy ☐ Employee Grievance and Discipline Policy ☐ Uniform Complaint Procedures Policy and form(s) ☐ Stakeholder complaint procedures and form(s) ☐ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s) ☐ Discussion with school leadership ☐ Stakeholder focus group ☐ Other: (Specify) 					
G3: R	G3: RESPONSIVE AND ACCOUNTABLE GOVERNANCE - EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM - QUALITY INDICATOR						
The G	The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure ongoing:						
•	• Review and use of academic performance data (e.g., CA School Dashboard, internal assessments, etc.) and other school data and information to ensure sound Governing Board decision-making in support of continuous improvement of student achievement, fiscal viability, compliance, and overall public school excellence						
•	 Monitoring of the school's implementation of its Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and additional school plans (e.g., Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), and action plans for making progress toward LCAP goals) 						
•	Transparent governance and accountability to stakeholders, including compliance with the L well as consideration of input from the school's committees/councils and stakeholders	AUSD BOE's Charter School Transparency Resolution, as					
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence					

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **6** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: (ick here to enter text.	
----------------	-------------------------	--

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Annual	Perf	ormance-	Based	Oversi	ight	Visit	Report
--------	------	----------	-------	--------	------	-------	--------

Performance	and other data, and takes appropriate action to achieve positive measurable pupil outcomes (e.g., approving action plans and/or resources, analysis and discussion, etc.) ☐ The Governing Board considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and/or resources, analysis and discussion, etc.)	 □ Board meeting agendas, minutes, and/or documentation □ Board member and executive leadership training □ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s) □ Discussion with school leadership □ Stakeholder focus group □ Other: (Specify)

G4: RESPONSIVE AND ACCOUNTABLE GOVERNANCE – STAFFING AND EVALUATIONS - QUALITY INDICATOR

The Governing Board has systems in place to ensure ongoing:

- Monitoring of staffing needs and the school's compliance with all applicable credentialing, clearance, and training requirements
- Evaluation procedures with clear performance standards for all school-based staff, including but not limited to administrators, certificated staff, and classified staff
- Evaluation of executive level leadership (including, but not limited to, those positions reporting to the Governing Board, as indicated in Element 4 of the approved charter, such as Executive Director, Area Superintendent, Principal, etc.)

· ·	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page 7 of 40



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Performance	 □ The Governing Board regularly monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and has implemented a well-developed system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and executive level leadership staff □ The Governing Board monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and has implemented a system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and executive level leadership staff □ The Governing Board inconsistently monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and/or has partially implemented a system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and/or executive level leadership staff □ The Governing Board seldom monitors school staffing to ensure that all students are taught by appropriately credentialed and assigned teachers, and/or has not implemented a system for the evaluation of all school-based staff and/or executive level leadership staff 	 ☐ Human Resources Policies and Procedures ☐ Board meeting agendas, minutes, and/or documentation ☐ Evaluation of Executive Leadership ☐ Evaluation of all school-based staff ☐ Compliance Monitoring and Certification of Board Compliance Review ☐ Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Reporter Training 2023-2024 form ("ESSA Grid") ☐ Observation of Governing Board meeting(s) ☐ Discussion with school leadership ☐ Stakeholder focus group ☐ Other: (Specify)

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **8** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

55: FI	ISCAL CONDITION - QUALITY INDICATOR				
The G	Governing Board has a system in place to ensure fiscal viability:				
• The school is fiscally strong and net assets are positive in the prior two independent audit reports.					
•	If applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal condition-related benchmark(s) are met by the required deadline(s).			
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
Performance	□ The school is fiscally strong with positive net assets and meets the four (4) recommended financial ratios (identified as part of the Supplemental Criteria within the Fiscal Operations Rubrics section below for the rating of 4, Accomplished) in the most current two independent audit reports, and, if applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal-condition related benchmark(s) are met by the required deadline(s) □ The school is fiscally stable, with positive net assets in the most current independent audit report* □ The school is fiscally weak or unstable**, net assets are negative in the most current independent audit report, or the school does not have an independent audit report or audited financial result for its first operative year on file with the Charter Schools Division* □ The school is consistently fiscally weak, net assets are negative in the prior two independent audit reports, or the school does not have an independent audit report or audited financial result for its first operative year on file with the Charter Schools Division* *Additional considerations that could influence the rating may include: inadequate cash flow; financial condition and/or enrollment reflecting a downward trend and/or beginning to show signs of deteriorating financial health potentially leading to negative net assets in the current fiscal year and/or the foreseeable future fiscal years; multi-year reliance on financing resources for the school's operations (e.g., factoring of receivables, intraorganizational loans, third party loans, continuing deficit spending, etc.); or that the school may not be able to carry out quality educational programs when the student enrollment drops to a certain level. **For example, the school's financial condition fluctuates from year to year, with significant net losses, leading to negative net assets in the current fiscal year and/or the foreseeable future fiscal years.	 □ Board meeting agendas and minutes □ Other evidence of a system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Discussion with leadership □ Independent audit report(s) □ Other financial information submitted by the school □ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below) 			

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page 9 of 40



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

G6: FISCAL MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY - QUALITY INDICATOR

The Governing Board has a system in place to ensure sound fiscal management and accountability:						
The school adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and does not have any areas noted for improvement.						
• The two most current annual independent audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings.						
	Rubric Sources of Evidence					
Performance	 □ The school consistently adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, does not have any areas noted for improvement, and the two most current annual independent audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings □ The school generally adheres to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, but has areas noted for improvement, and the most current annual independent audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings □ The school is not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, and has areas noted for improvement, or has significant fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, unresolved recurring issues, potential conflicts of interest, etc.) □ The school is continuously not adhering to the Governing Board approved fiscal policies and procedures, or has significant and recurring fiscal-related issues (e.g., fiscal mismanagement, audit findings, potential conflicts of interest, etc.) 	 □ Board meeting agendas and minutes □ Other evidence of a system for Board review and monitoring of fiscal policies, procedures, budget, and finances □ Observation of Governing Board meeting □ Discussion with leadership □ Independent audit report(s) □ Other: (see Fiscal Operations section below) 				
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to GOVERNANCE (if applicable):						

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **10** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE	RATING*			
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating			
California Department of Education's (CDE) Charter School's Performance Category (as published in 2024)	Performance Category			
The state identified the charter school as qualifying for Differentiated Assistance (DA)? Differentiated Assistance is technical assistance provided to Local Education Agencies (LEA) that the state has identified for underperformance. DA is targeted support that is available to LEAs that meet the eligibility requirements set by the State Board of Education (SBE).				
The charter school is a state-identified school under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If yes, the school's identification: (See additional information within "Notes" section below) Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)				
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress				
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement				
Corrective Action Required				
Notes: College/Career Indicator (CCI) is scored based on 2023 Status level data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard.				
English Learner (EL) Reclassification: For 2021-2022: The school's reclassification rate is% as compared to the state's rate of%				

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **11** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

The school's percent of "At Risk" ELs is% as compared to the state's percent of%
The school's percent of "LTELs" is% as compared to the state percent of%
For 2022-2023:
The school's reclassification rate is% as compared to the state's rate of%
The school's percent of "At Risk" ELs is% as compared to the state's percent of%
The school's percent of "LTELs" is% as compared to the state percent of%
*NOTE: A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than a 1 if the school has been identified as a Low Performing charter school based
on the state's published list.

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **12** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

_	A1: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE ELA - QUALITY INDICATOR				
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:					
California School Dashboard Schoolwide ELA data (CDE)					
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
Performance	 □ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Blue □ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/above statewide Distance From Standard ("DFS") □ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Yellow and below statewide DFS; or Orange □ The schoolwide Dashboard ELA Indicator color is Red □ Not Available - No color assigned for the ELA Indicator on the Dashboard 	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)			
A2: D A	ASHBOARD STUDENT GROUP ELA - QUALITY INDICATOR				
The so	chool demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the a	schievement gap, as measured by:			
•	 Performance of all numerically significant student groups (30 or more students) on the California School Dashboard ELA (Students with Disabilities, English Learners, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, etc.)(CDE) 				
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
Performance		Sources of Evidence California School Dashboard Report (CDE) LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set Other: (Specify)			
A3: D	Rubric ☐ All numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Not Available - No assessment of performance for this indicator ASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE MATH - QUALITY INDICATOR	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)			
A3: DA The sc	Rubric ☐ All numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Not Available - No assessment of performance for this indicator	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)			

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page 13 of 40



SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.	

nnual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter	a date
---	--------

Performance	 □ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Blue □ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/above statewide DFS □ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Yellow and below statewide DFS; or Orange □ The schoolwide Dashboard Math Indicator color is Red □ Not Available - No color assigned for the Math Indicator on the Dashboard 	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)			
A4: D A	ASHBOARD STUDENT GROUP MATH - QUALITY INDICATOR				
The sc	The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:				
	Rubric Sources of Evidence				
	_				
Performance	 □ All numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS □ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS □ Not Available - No assessment of performance for this indicator 	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)			
	statewide DFS ☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS	☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)			
A5: D A	statewide DFS ☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/DFS" scores above the statewide DFS ☐ Not Available - No assessment of performance for this indicator	□ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set □ Other: (Specify) NDICATOR			

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **14** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME:	Click	here	to	enter	text.
--------------	-------	------	----	-------	-------

Rubric

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Sources of Evidence

The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Blue □ The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Green; or Yellow and at/above statewide percentage □ The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Yellow and below statewide percentage; or Orange □ The schoolwide Dashboard ELPI color is Red □ Not Available - No color assigned for the ELPI on the Dashboard		☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)			
	ASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE COLLEGE/CAREER (CCI) - (GRADES 9-12) - QUALIT				
The s	 The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: California School Dashboard Schoolwide CCI data (CDE) 				
	Rubric Sources of Evidence				
Performance	The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is Very High □ The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is High; or Medium and at/above the statewide percentage □ The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is Medium and below the statewide percentage; or Low □ The schoolwide Dashboard CCI Indicator Status is Very Low □ Not Available – No assessment of performance for this indicator □ Not Applicable - CCI is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school □ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) □ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set □ Other: (Specify)				
A7: DASHBOARD STUDENT GROUP COLLEGE/CAREER (CCI) - (GRADES 9-12) - QUALITY INDICATOR					
 The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: Performance of all numerically significant student groups (30 or more students) on the California School Dashboard CCI (Students with Disabilities, English Learners, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, etc.)(CDE) 					

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **15** of **40**



	SCHOOL NAME: Clic	k here to enter text.	
--	-------------------	-----------------------	--

Annual Performance-Based	Oversight	Visit Report
--------------------------	-----------	--------------

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to	o enter a date.
------------------------------	-----------------

	☐ All numerically significant student groups have "Status/percent" scores above the	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE)			
	statewide averages	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set			
ره	☐ The majority of numerically significant student groups have "Status/percent" scores	☐ Other: (Specify)			
Performance	above the statewide averages				
	☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups have "Status/percent"				
for	scores above the statewide averages				
□ None of the school's numerically significant student groups have "Status/percent"					
_	scores above the statewide averages				
	☐ Not Available – No assessment of performance for this indicator				
	☐ Not Applicable - CCI is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school				
A8: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM - (GRADES K-8) - QUALITY INDICATOR					
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:					
California Cala al Daubbarad Cala abaida Charada Abarata abarata da (CDE)					

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:			
•	 California School Dashboard Schoolwide Chronic Absenteeism Indicator data (CDE) 		
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
Performance	 □ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Blue □ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/below statewide percentage □ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Yellow and above statewide percentage; or Orange □ The schoolwide Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Red □ Not Available - No color assigned for the Chronic Absenteeism Indicator on the Dashboard □ Not Applicable - The Chronic Absenteeism Indicator is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school 	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)	

A9: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE GRADUATION RATE - (GRADES 9-12) - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
California School Dashboard Schoolwide Graduation Rate Indicator data (CDE)		
Rubric	Sources of Evidence	

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page 16 of 40



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and	☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set
ance	at/above statewide percentage	☐ Other: (Specify)
	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Yellow and below	
ırı	statewide percentage; or Orange	
irfc	☐ The schoolwide Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator color is Red	
Pe	☐ Not Available - No color assigned for the Graduation Rate Indicator on the Dashboard	
	☐ Not Applicable - The Graduation Rate Indicator is not applicable for the grade levels	
	assigned at the charter school	

A10: DASHBOARD SCHOOLWIDE SUSPENSION RATE INDICATOR - OUALITY INDICATOR

The sc	The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: California School Dashboard Schoolwide Suspension Rate Indicator data (CDE)		
Rubric		Sources of Evidence	
Performance	 □ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Blue □ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Green; or Yellow and at/below statewide percentage □ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Yellow and above statewide percentage; or Orange □ The schoolwide Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator color is Red □ Not Available - No color assigned for the Suspension Rate Indicator on the Dashboard 	☐ California School Dashboard Report (CDE) ☐ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set ☐ Other: (Specify)	

A11: INTERNAL ASSESSMENT – VERIFIED DATA IMPLEMENTATION – QUALITY INDICATOR

The information provided in this report on internal assessments is to determine the charter school's verified data implementation, as applicable. As part of renewal, as applicable, for charter schools that are identified by the California Department of Education (CDE) as Middle or Low Performing, the LAUSD Board shall consider schoolwide performance and performance of all student groups on the California School Dashboard and shall also consider clear and convincing evidence, demonstrated by verified data, showing either a) the school achieved measurable increases in academic achievement, as defined by at least one year's progress for each in school or b) strong postsecondary outcomes equal to similar peers.

The LAUSD Board shall only consider verified data adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to Education Code section 47607.2(c) (Link: <u>Approved List</u>). In addition, staff's review of the charter school's submitted materials will be based on the verified data sources and related information adopted by the State Board of Education (Ed. Code, § 47607.2(c)(3).) Charter schools submitting verified data for this purpose must adhere to the state-approved criteria.

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **17** of **40**



applicable):

LAUSD CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

The information below is based on charter school's self-reported data and will not be scored.					
The ch	arter school Choose an item. provide Verified Data for	or consideration.			
Acade	mic Progress Indicator(s) for the 2022-2023 School	Year:			
	Academic Progress Indicator (ELA): Choose an item. Grade Levels: Click or tap here to enter text. Assessment Administration: Choose an item. 95% Participation Met*: Met Not Met				
Acade	emic Progress Indicator (Math): Choose an item.	Grade Levels: Click or tap here to enter text.	Assessment Administration: Choose an item.	95% Participation Met*: ☐ Met ☐ Not Met	
*If the o	charter school did not meet the 95% participation, the charter	r school's plan to address the partic	cipation is included in the Notes below	w.	
1.	☐ The charter school disaggregated student performa	ance data for the following stude	ent groups: Click or tap here to en	ter text.	
	☐ The charter school did not disaggregate student pe	rformance data by student grou	ps.		
2.	The charter school Choose an item. that the assessmen	nts were administrated as intend	led, consistent with the test publis	shers' administration and test security	
	procedures.				
3.	The charter school provided the following verified da				
	☐ i-Ready K-8 by Curriculum Associates: <i>Diagnostic</i>				
	☐ MAP Growth by NWEA: Student Growth Summary Report				
	☐ Star Assessment by Renaissance: Star Growth Report				
	☐ Other: Click or tap here to enter text.				
	☐ The charter school did not provide the correct report from the publisher to determine one year's growth.				
Postsecondary Outcomes (high school only):					
1.	1. The charter school uses the Choose an item. data source and Choose an item. the results of at least 95% of eligible students.				
	a. If the charter school did not meet the 95% participation rate, the charter school's plan to address the participation is included in the Notes below.				
	2. The charter school Choose an item. the number of eligible students and missing or non-participating students.				
3.	3. The charter school Choose an item. evidence of comparing the data to similar peers (which may include, but not limited to, similar demographics, pupil				
	student groups, first-time college attendance, or other similar circumstances and if not available, comparison to statewide data).				
4.	4. □ The charter school did not provide postsecondary outcomes				
Notes:					
Progre	Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE (if				

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **18** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

LOCAL CONTROL ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN 2023-2024 (For Informational Purposes Only)

The CSD reviewed the Local Control Accountability Plan.	
All requested template information and descriptions were provided:	Sources of Evidence
 □ LCFF Budget Overview for Parents □ Plan Summary □ Engaging Educational Partners □ Goals and Actions □ Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students □ Action Tables 	 □ Local Control Accountability Plan □ Board Agenda and Minutes
Notes:	

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **19** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	
*NOTE: A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 1 for any of the following reasons: (1) Egiled to complete	e criminal background

- A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 1 for any of the following reasons: (1) Failed to complete criminal background clearances for any new staff and/or sole proprietor (as defined on the Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Training) prior to employment; and/or failed to obtain DOJ clearance certification, as appropriate, from a vendor; (2) Failed to have Health, Safety, and Emergency Plan; (3) Failed to have an appropriate Certificate of Occupancy, or equivalent; and/or (4) The school is in breach of the operative charter, including Federal, State, and District Required Language related to this section.
- A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 2 for any of the following reasons: (1) Failed to conduct child abuse mandated reporter training in accordance with Education Code 44691; (2) Any teacher of the instructional program is not appropriately credentialed and assigned per legal requirements and the school's operative charter at any time during the academic year; and/or (3) Failed to provide evidence of any item on the "Review of Health and Safety Compliance Items" checklist below.
- A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 3 for the following reason: Failed to provide evidence of any item on the "Review of Transparency and Stakeholder Information Compliance Items" checklist below.

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **20** of **40**

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

O1: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM – QUALITY INDICATOR

The school has systems in place to ensure alignment to the curricular and educational program outlined in the approved charter by:

- Implementing key features of the educational program described in the charter
- Implementing standards-based instruction in accordance with the California State Content Standards specific to the grade levels served and aligned with the needs of students
- Implementing assessments to measure the development of grade-level appropriate academic and non-academic skills
- Reviewing and analyzing school and student progress towards annual goals (schoolwide and for all student groups that the school serves) that are consistent with the educational performance measured by the California School Dashboard and state assessments
- Providing teacher, staff, and administrator professional development specific to supporting desired student outcomes and key features outlined in the school's charter

• Implementing a system to monitor student progress toward, and completion of, graduation and A-G requirements (high schools only)

			• 0			
	Rubric			Sources of	Evidence	

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **21** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

	\Box The school has fully implemented the educational program, including key features,	☐ Key Features of the Educational Program
	outlined in the school's charter and aligned to California State Content Standards specific	☐ Standards-Based Instructional Program
	to the grade levels served; and has achieved an overall rating of "3" or "4" in the Student	☐ Master Schedule/Course Schedule
	Achievement and Educational Performance category of this year's oversight report	☐ Student Achievement Data Analysis
	the school's charter and aligned to California State Content Standards specific to the	☐ Professional Development documentation
		☐ Classroom/site Observation
	☐ The school has partially implemented the educational program, including key features,	☐ Discussion with school leadership
4)	outlined in the school's charter and aligned to California State Content Standards specific	☐ Other: (Specify)
nce	to the grade levels served	
na	\Box The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the educational program, including \Box	High School:
ori	key features, outlined in the school's charter and aligned to California State Content	☐ System for monitoring student progress toward and
Performance	Standards specific to the grade levels served co	completion of graduation and A-G Requirements
		☐ WASC Accreditation Notification Letter
		☐ UC Doorways course approval
		☐ Graduation Requirement/Policy
		☐ Math Placement Assessment Policy
		☐ Advanced Placement Exam: Participation Rate and
		Passage Rate
		☐ College acceptance and enrollment rates, and
		other postsecondary indicators

O2: MEETING THE NEEDS OF ALL STUDENTS; STUDENT GROUP DATA ANALYSIS - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school has a system in place to ensure:

- Implementation of differentiated instructional strategies and approaches described in the charter designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including all numerically significant student groups identified in the school's LCAP and by the CDE
- Implementation of internal student assessments aligned with instructional outcomes to determine student mastery of California State Content Standards
- Disaggregation and analysis of data on a regular basis to address individual student needs and guide instructional planning and use of interventions
- Implementation, review, and modification, as appropriate, of its Master Plan for English Learners (EL identification, standards-based designated and integrated ELD instruction, progress monitoring, assessment, and reclassification)

integrated ELD instruction, progress monitoring, assessment, and reclassification)	
Rubric	Sources of Evidence

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **22** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Performance	☐ The school has a well-developed system to implement and monitor the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups; provides standards-aligned designated and integrated ELD for English Learners; evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis; and has achieved an overall rating of "3" or "4" in the Student Achievement and Educational Performance category of this year's oversight report ☐ The school has a system to implement and monitor the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups; provides standards-aligned designated and integrated ELD for English Learners; and evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis	 ☐ Implementation of differentiated instructional strategies ☐ Intervention/Enrichment Program during the instructional day ☐ Student Group data analysis ☐ Professional Development documentation ☐ Classroom/site Observation ☐ Discussion with school leadership ☐ Other: (Specify)
Per	☐ The school has partially implemented the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups,	English Learners ☐ Master Plan for English Learners
	and/or limited evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis	☐ Designated English Language Development (ELD)
	☐ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the components of the charter's instructional program designed to meet the learning needs of all students, including its student groups, and/or no evidence of systems to modify instruction based on data analysis	schedule □ Documentation of implementation of the school's Master Plan for English Learners □ Implementation of a data analysis system

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **23** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

O3: SPECIAL EDUCATION - QUALITY INDICATOR

The sc	hool has a system in place to ensure that the school: Provides special education programs and services in accordance with students' IEPs Provides special education training for staff Conducts a special education self-review annually, using the Special Education Self-Review Maintains mandated IEP timeline records and accurate service provision records in Welligen	
	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The school has a well-developed system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements; provides staff with regular and ongoing professional development/training to support implementation of its special education program; and, as documented in Welligent, adheres to mandated IEP timelines and maintains accurate service provision records □ The school has a system in place for full implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements; provides staff with professional development/training to support implementation of its special education program; and, as documented in Welligent, adheres to mandated IEP timelines and maintains accurate service provision records □ The school has a partially developed system in place for implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements □ The school has a minimal or no system in place for implementation and monitoring of its special education processes and program in compliance with all requirements □ Not Applicable - Charter school participates in LAUSD's Option 1 SELPA □ Charter school does not participate in LAUSD's SELPA 	 □ Welligent IEP Timeline and Service Tracking Reports □ District Validation Review (DVR) □ Annual Self-Review Checklist □ Professional Development documentation □ Discussion with school leadership

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **24** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click	here	to	enter	text.
--------------	-------	------	----	-------	-------

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

O4: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND STUDENT DISCIPLINE - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school has a school climate and schoolwide student discipline system in place to ensure that the school's practices:

- Align with principles of the District's Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights Resolution, including but not limited to, tiered behavior intervention, alternatives to suspension, schoolwide positive behavior support, and data monitoring
- Provide positive opportunities for student wellness, growth, and success, aimed at making the school safe, welcoming, supportive, and inclusive
- Minimize discretionary suspensions and expulsions
- Reduce or eliminate suspension disproportionality for student groups
- Minimize chronic absenteeism for all students and student groups
- Procedures for preventing acts of bullying, including cyberbullying, in accordance with the requirements of Ed. Code, §§ 32283.5 and 234.4

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The school has a well-developed and effective school climate and student discipline system that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights, as demonstrated by schoolwide suspension event rate data less than 3%, and suspension disproportionality rates that do not reach or exceed 4.5% for the Students with Disabilities or African American student groups □ The school has a school climate and student discipline system that is aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights, as demonstrated by schoolwide suspension event rate data less than 4.5%, and suspension disproportionality rates that do not reach or exceed 14.5% for the Students with Disabilities or African American student groups □ The school has a partially developed and/or minimally effective school climate and student discipline system that is only partially aligned with the principles of the Discipline Foundation Policy and School Climate Bill of Rights □ The school has a minimally developed school climate and student discipline system as demonstrated by one or more of the following: the issuance of repeated CSD Notices to Cure (tiered intervention) related to suspension/expulsion; noted concerns related to ensuring due process rights when implementing suspension/expulsion practices; violation of law or policy. 	□ Positive school climate system and Restorative Justice documentation □ Documentation of systems to prevent acts of bullying □ Professional Development documentation □ LAUSD Office of Data & Accountability's Data Sets for suspension, expulsion, and disproportionality □ Classroom/site Observation □ Discussion with school leadership □ Stakeholder focus group □ Other: (Specify)

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **25** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

O5: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND INVOLVEMENT - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school has a system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, facilitating, and encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns, which:

- Provides parents, teachers, and students with meaningful opportunities for involvement and engagement that meet the requirements and goals of applicable federal and state law, the school's charter, and the school LCAP
- Implements a School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and/or Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) in accordance with applicable legal requirements (e.g., member composition of committee/council, legally required topics, etc.), if applicable
- The liaison for students experiencing homelessness has identified this population through outreach and coordination activities with other organizations, and provided related referral of services to families, children, and youth experiencing homelessness

	Rubric	Sources of Evidence
Performance	 □ The school provided evidence of a well-developed and effective system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns; and, as applicable, implements an SSC, ELAC, and/or PAC in accordance with all legal requirements □ The school provided evidence of a system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, sharing information, and resolving concerns; and, as applicable, implements an SSC, ELAC, and/or PAC in accordance with all legal requirements □ The school provided limited evidence of a system for stakeholder engagement, sharing information, and resolving concerns □ The school provided little to no evidence of a system for stakeholder engagement, sharing information, and resolving concerns 	 ☐ Yearlong Calendar of stakeholder engagement events/meetings. ☐ Stakeholder Engagement ☐ Stakeholder Consultation ☐ School Site Council (SSC) documentation ☐ Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) documentation ☐ English Learners Advisory Committee (ELAC) documentation ☐ Foster Youth/Students Experiencing Homelessness Designee ☐ School website ☐ Discussion with school leadership ☐ Stakeholder focus group ☐ Other: (Specify)

O6: CLEARANCES AND CREDENTIALING COMPLIANCE - QUALITY INDICATOR

The school is in compliance with applicable law and the terms of its approved charter regarding clearances and credentialing:

- All certificated staff are fully credentialed, including EL authorizations, and appropriately assigned as authorized by their credentials at all times
- Individuals who have been continuously employed in a teaching position since the 2019–20 school year obtain the appropriate certificate, permit, or other document for their certificated assignment no later than July 1, 2025 (Ed. Code § 47605.4(a))
- The school has obtained all necessary employee clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances, prior to employment, and keeps all clearances current

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **26** of **40**



OPERATIONS (if applicable):

FORM REV. 9/27/2023

LAUSD CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Page 27 of 40

- The school has obtained all necessary vendor clearances, including criminal background and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances as applicable, prior to the provision of service, and keeps all clearances current
- School employees and other mandated reporters working on behalf of the school receive annual training on child abuse awareness and reporting, in accordance with the requirements of Ed. Code, § 44691
- Staff receive annual training in Pupil Suicide Prevention and Awareness (as applicable) pursuant to Ed. Code §215
- Staff receive annual training in bloodborne pathogens, per 8 California Code of Regulations ("CCR"), § 5193
- The school has conducted volunteer clearances in accordance with applicable law and policy, including criminal background clearances for all volunteers who perform school site services while not under the direct supervision of a school employee, and tuberculosis (TB) risk assessments/clearances for all volunteers with frequent or prolonged contact with students

Rubric		Sources of Evidence	
Performance	 □ The school has implemented an effective system which includes procedures to continually monitor and maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements at all times, which has led to clear and accurate record keeping/documentation of its compliance □ The school has implemented and monitors systems and procedures that maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements □ The school has partially implemented and/or intermittently monitors systems and procedures leading to inconsistent compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements □ The school has not implemented and/or does not monitor systems and procedures to maintain compliance with applicable law, including but not limited to clearance, credentialing, and assignment requirements Note: The rating of this indicator incorporates the ESSA Grid and evidence provided by the charter school in its Triannual submission and at the time of the oversight visit for those staff and/or vendors not included in the Triannual submission. 	 □ Certification of Clearances, Credentialing, and Mandated Reporter Training 2023-2024 form ("ESSA Grid") □ Staff roster □ School master schedule □ Custodian(s) of Records documentation □ Criminal Background Clearance Certifications □ Teaching credential/authorization documentation □ Vendor clearances and credentialing certifications □ Volunteer (TB) risk assessment/clearance certification □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify) 	
Prog	Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND		



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.	
DATE OF VISIT:	Click here to enter a date.	

Review of Health and Safety Compliance Items		
The items below are expected to be evident at the charter school to ensure the protection of student and staff health and safety. Failure to provide evidence of any of the applicable items below may lead to tiered intervention, as appropriate, and restrict the overall rating in the Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations category as indicated below.		
A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 1 if the items belo	w are not evident.	
Item	Evident	Not Evident
A current, comprehensive, site-specific comprehensive Health, Safety, and Emergency School Safety Plan per requirements of Ed. Code, §§ 47605(c)(5)(F), 32282(a)(2)(A)(J), and 35179.4, as applicable. (Note: For schools co-located with a District school, the charter school participates in and complies with the District school's Integrated Safe School Plan)		
A current site-specific Certificate(s) of Occupancy or equivalent that authorizes the current use of the site		
A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 2 if any of the items to the several (i.e., two or more) items below are not evident, charter school may receive an overall rating		t.
Item	Evident	Not Evident
School has sufficient emergency supplies in the event of a natural disaster or other emergency (Ed. Code § 32280)		
School conducts annual emergency drills and trainings as legally required to prepare for a natural disaster or other emergency, per Ed. Code §§ 32001 and 32282		

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **28** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Provision and documentation of health screenings (e.g., vision, hearing, and scoliosis) per current applicable law and terms of the charter (Ed. Code § 49450 et seq, as referenced in FSDRL)	
Stock and maintenance of the required number and type of emergency epinephrine auto-injectors ("epi-pen") onsite and training has been provided to volunteer staff member(s) in the storage and emergency use, per Ed. Code § 49414 and § 4119.2 of the Business and Professions Code	
Provision of at least two meals free of charge (breakfast and lunch) during each school day to students requesting a meal, regardless of their free or reduced-price meal eligibility, per Ed. Code § 49501.5	
A Board adopted Pupil Suicide Prevention Policy (grades 1-6 and/or grades 7-12, as applicable), requirements per of Ed. Code § 215. Suicide Prevention Lifeline and National Domestic Violence Hotline on at least one side of Student ID cards, as specified in Ed. Code § 215.5	
For schools offering an interscholastic athletic program, at least one automated external defibrillator (AED) is onsite, and available at on-campus athletic activities or events per Ed. Code §§ 35179.4 and 35179.	
Notes:	

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **29** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME:	Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Review of Transparency and Stakeholder Information Compliance Items

The items below are expected to be evident at the charter school to ensure that it operates in a transparent manner and keeps all stakeholders informed. Failure to provide evidence of any of the applicable items below may lead to tiered intervention, as appropriate, and impact the overall rating in the Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations category.

A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 3 if any of the items below are not evident.

Item	Evident	Not Evident
The following information posted to the school's website: □ LCAP, per Ed. Code § 47606.5(h) □ Current Board agenda in compliance with Brown Act, per Gov. Code, §54954.2(a)(1) □ Policy on Pupil Suicide Prevention per Ed. Code § 234.6 □ Title IX information, including a link to CDE's Title IX website per Ed. Code § 234.6 □ Policies on anti-discrimination, anti-harassment, anti-intimidation, anti-bullying, and sexual harassment policies, including: anti-cyberbullying procedures, social media anti-bullying procedures, and a link to statewide resources including community-based organizations compiled by CDE, per Ed. Code § 234.6		
Provides all stakeholders with appropriate, accessible, and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance Ed. Code § 35256		
Access to approved charter		
Documentation of informing parents/guardians about transferability of courses/course credit and eligibility to meet A-G requirements (high schools only), per Ed. Code § 47605		
Informing parents/guardians of human trafficking prevention resources (grades 6-12), per Ed. Code § 49381		
Notification of access to available mental health services, per Ed. Code § 49428. Additionally, charter schools serving any of the grades 6 to 12, shall prominently and conspicuously display a poster that identifies approaches		

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **30** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

and shares resources regarding pupil mental health in appropriate public areas that are accessible to, and commonly frequented by, pupils, per Ed. Code § 49428.5	
Notes:	

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **31** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

[INSERT FISCAL TABLE]

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **32** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

FISCAL OPERATIONS
You have been assessed by the Fiscal Oversight team and you are receiving the rating of Choose a rating
Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and are noted in this evaluation.
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress:
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement:
Other Observations (Items described in this section, which may not have been addressed in the charter school's Fiscal Policies and Procedures, are recommended for improvement to align with optimal business practices).
Corrective Action Required:
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education and/or MOU Benchmarks related to FISCAL OPERATIONS (if applicable):

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **33** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

N	otes:
I.	Review of Fiscal Documentation (Non-Fiscal Preparation Guide Items)
II	. Review of 2023-2024 Fiscal Preparation Guide
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **34** of **40**

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

Fiscal Operations Rubrics

Existing School – a charter school that was/is in operation/active in the preceding school year(s) and the current school year. Existing schools may receive a rating of 1, 2, 3, or 4.

New School – a charter school that is in its first year of operation in the current school year and does not have an independent audit report or audited financial result for its first operative year on file with the Charter Schools Division. New schools are evaluated based on current year information. New schools may receive a rating of 1 or 2.

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required and Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished [Rating of 4].

Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):

REQUIRED CRITERIA

- 1. Net assets are positive in the prior two audits;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive;
- 3. The two most current annual independent audits show no material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings;
- 4. If applicable, federal, state, and other public agency audits/reviews (e.g., California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS), California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), Fiscal Crisis & Management Assistance Team (FCMAT), United States Department of Education (USDE), California Department of Education (CDE), etc.), at the time of the oversight visit, show no outstanding material weaknesses, deficiencies, and/or findings;
- 5. Vendors and staff are consistently paid in a timely manner;
- 6. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, once prior to each charter renewal term;
- 7. Charter school consistently adheres to the governing board-approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures;
- 8. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 9. Governing board reviews and/or discusses reports (e.g., first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited financial statements, etc.) submitted to LAUSD;
- 10. If applicable, governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD;
- 11. There is no apparent conflict of interest;

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria and at least five of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Proficient [Rating of 3]</u>.

Existing Schools (based on the most current annual audit):

REOUIRED CRITERIA

- 1. Net assets are positive in the most current audit;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive;
- 3. The most current annual independent audit shows no material weaknesses, deficiencies and/or findings;
- 4. If applicable, federal, state, and other public agency audits/reviews (e.g., CalSTRS, CalPERS, FCMAT, USDE, CDE, etc.), at the time of the oversight visit, show outstanding findings, and the school is able to demonstrate evidence of remedies in addressing these findings;
- 5. Vendors and staff are generally paid in a timely manner;
- 6. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, once prior to each charter renewal term;
- 7. Charter school generally adheres to the governing board-approved Fiscal Policies and Procedures;
- 8. Governing board adopts the annual budget;
- 9. Governing board reviews and/or discusses reports (e.g., first interim, second interim, unaudited actuals, audited financial statements, etc.) submitted to LAUSD:
- 10. If applicable, governing board discusses and resolves audit exceptions and deficiencies to the satisfaction of LAUSD:
- 11. There is no apparent conflict of interest;
- 12. The Education Protection Account allocation and expenditures, audited financial statements, and the most current governing board-approved LCAP are posted on the charter school's website;
- 13. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **35** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required and Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished [Rating of 4].

- 12. The Education Protection Account allocation and expenditures, audited financial statements, and the most current governing board-approved LCAP submitted to the appropriate agencies, are posted on the charter school's website;
- 13. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies;
- 14. Reasonable requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are consistently processed or submitted by the charter school in a timely manner;
- 15. There are no items cited in Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement and there are no more than five (5) items cited in Other Observations for the most current year;
- 16. If applicable (when audited and unaudited actuals do not mirror each other), adequate explanations are provided by the school for significant variances between audited and unaudited actuals, and there are no indications of the school's potential lack of internal controls over financial statements:
- 17. Proper segregation of duties is consistently in place;
- 18. If applicable, there are no outstanding fiscal-related tiered intervention notices issued to the school; or the school has no tiered intervention notices as of the oversight report issuance date; and
- 19. If applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal benchmark(s) are fully met by the required deadline(s); or the school has no fiscal benchmarks in its current charter term.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating, which may include the supplemental criteria below, and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

1. The school maintains the minimum reserve for economic uncertainties as defined in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 5, Section 15450 (5 CCR § 15450 Reserves) (i.e., unrestricted fund balance divided by total expenditures) in the most current two annual independent audits;

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria and at least five of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Proficient [Rating of 3].

- 14. Reasonable requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are generally processed or submitted by the charter school in a timely manner;
- 15. There are no significant recurring issues cited in the Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement;
- 16. If applicable (when audited and unaudited actuals do not mirror each other), at least partial explanations are provided by the school for significant variances between audited and unaudited actuals, and there are no indications of the school's potential lack of internal controls over financial statements;
- 17. Proper segregation of duties is generally in place;
- 18. If applicable, the charter school is in the process of resolving outstanding fiscal issues cited in a Notice issued by the CSD as part of its tiered intervention process; and
- 19. If applicable, all LAUSD Board of Education-approved fiscal benchmark(s) are partially met by the required deadline(s).

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating, which may include the supplemental criteria below, and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

 The school maintains the minimum reserve for economic uncertainties as defined in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 5, Section 15450 (<u>5 CCR § 15450 Reserves</u>) (i.e., unrestricted fund balance divided by total expenditures) in the most current annual independent audit;

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **36** of **40**



Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required and Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Accomplished [Rating of 4].

- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year's expenses in the most current two annual independent audits:
- 3. The school maintains sound short-term financial viability (i.e., current ratio is recommended to be at least 1.2 or 120%) in the most current two annual independent audits;
- 4. The school maintains sound long-term financial sustainability (i.e., debt ratio is recommended to be lower than 1.0 or 100%) in the two most current annual independent audits;
- 5. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (e.g., the school still maintains a balanced budget, etc.);
- 6. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at governing board meetings;
- 7. The most current annual independent audit is submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's chartering authorizer, by December 15 following the end of the fiscal year (California Education Code 47605(m)), or by the extended deadline granted by the charter authorizer due to extraordinary reason(s) or approved by law; and
- 8. The preliminary budget, first interim financial report, second interim financial report, and the unaudited actuals report for the preceding fiscal year are submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's charter authorizer, pursuant to the timelines established in California Education Code 47604.33(a)(1,3,4,5).

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria and at least five of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Proficient [Rating of 3]</u>.

- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year's expenses in the most current annual independent audit;
- 3. The school maintains sound short-term financial viability (i.e., current ratio is recommended to be at least 1.2 or 120%) in the most current annual independent audit;
- 4. The school maintains sound long-term financial sustainability (i.e., debt ratio is recommended to be lower than 1.0 or 100%) in the most current annual independent audit;
- 5. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (e.g., the school still maintains a balanced budget, etc.);
- 6. Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at governing board meetings;
- 7. The most current annual independent audit is submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's chartering authorizer, by December 15 following the end of the fiscal year (California Education Code 47605(m)) or by the extended deadline granted by the charter authorizer due to extraordinary reason(s) or approved by law; and
- 8. The preliminary budget, first interim financial report, second interim financial report, and the unaudited actuals report for the preceding fiscal year are submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's charter authorizer, pursuant to the timelines established in California Education Code 47604.33(a)(1,3,4,5).

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria, and at least four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as Developing [Rating of 2].

An existing school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:

<u>REQUIRED CRITERIA</u>

1. Net Assets are positive in the most current audit; net assets are negative with strong trend towards becoming positive (be positive at the end of the third year per the applicable interim financials); or the

An Unsatisfactory rating is assessed based on the following conditions, including, but not limited to:

A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for *Accomplished*, *Proficient*, or *Developing*. The charter

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **37** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria, and at least four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Developing</u> [Rating of 2].

An existing school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:

school's financial condition fluctuates from year to year, with significant net losses, leading to negative net assets in the current fiscal year and/or the foreseeable future fiscal years;

- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is positive; and
- 3. Governing board approves Fiscal Policies and Procedures, at a minimum, once prior to each charter renewal term.

school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD, but failed to provide a satisfactory response. The charter school has shown no *feasible* financial plans, and/or immediate sources of funding to maintain a viable budget and/or sustain the school's operation to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members and/or leadership lack fiscal capacity.

Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating, which may include the supplemental criteria below, and will be noted in the evaluation.

<u>Note</u>: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

SUPPLEMENTAL CRITERIA

- The school maintains the minimum reserve for economic uncertainties as defined in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 5, Section 15450 (<u>5 CCR § 15450 Reserves</u>) (i.e., unrestricted fund balance divided by total expenditures) in the most current annual independent audit;
- 2. The cash balance at the beginning of the school year is at least 5% of the prior year's expenses in the most current annual independent audit;
- 3. The school maintains sound short-term financial viability (i.e., current ratio is recommended to be at least 1.2 or 120%) in the most current annual independent audit;
- 4. The school maintains sound long-term financial sustainability (i.e., debt ratio is recommended to be lower than 1.0 or 100%) in the most current annual independent audit;
- 5. Enrollment is stable or changing at a manageable rate (e.g., the school still maintains a balanced budget, etc.);

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **38** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

An existing school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria, and at least four of the Supplemental Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Developing</u> [Rating of 2].		An existing school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:
6.	Fiscal reports (e.g., balance sheet, income statement, budget to actuals, cash flow statement, etc.) are presented to the governing board at governing board meetings;	
7.	The most current annual independent audit is submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's chartering authorizer, by December 15 following the end of the fiscal year (California Education Code 47605(m)) or by the extended deadline granted by the charter authorizer due to extraordinary reason(s) or approved by law; and	
8.	The preliminary budget, first interim financial report, second interim financial report, and the unaudited actuals report for the preceding fiscal year are submitted to the appropriate agencies, including the school's charter authorizer, pursuant to the timelines established in California Education Code 47604.33(a)(1,3,4,5).	

A new school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Developing</u> [Rating of 2].

A new school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:

New Schools:

REQUIRED CRITERIA

- 1. Interim reports and/or unaudited actuals project positive net assets;
- 2. Projected debt, if any, is managed efficiently and will not cause the charter school to end the fiscal year with negative net assets. The non-profit organization is financially viable to support the charter school;
- 3. If enrollment is significantly below the enrollment per the school's *Pupil Estimates for New or Significantly Expanding Charters* report and/or its approved petition budget, the charter school has made significant adjustments in their operations to allow for the reduced income, and submitted a revised viable three-year budget and three-year cash flow projections;
- 4. Governing Board adopts the annual budget;
- 5. The governing board reviews and discusses the charter school's financial reports as evidenced by the governing board meeting minutes;

New Schools:

An Unsatisfactory rating is assessed based on the following conditions, including, but not limited to:

A charter school is assessed as Unsatisfactory if the charter school does not meet the criteria for *Developing*. The charter school was given a certain period of time to address the fiscal concerns of LAUSD but failed to provide a satisfactory response. The charter school has shown no *feasible* financial plans, and/or immediate sources of funding to maintain a viable budget and/or sustain the school's operation to mitigate the negative fiscal condition. The charter school's governing board members and/or leadership lack fiscal capacity.

Continued operation of a charter school that is assessed as Unsatisfactory may result to non-implementation of instructional programs as provided in the petition.

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **39** of **40**



SCHOOL NAME: Click here to enter text.

Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

DATE OF VISIT: Click here to enter a date.

A new school that, at a minimum, meets all of the Required Criteria listed below would be assessed eligible to be considered as <u>Developing</u> [Rating of 2].	A new school would be assessed as <u>Unsatisfactory</u> [Rating of 1] based on the statements below:
6. Reasonable requests for information made by the Charter Schools Division and LAUSD are processed or submitted by the charter school in a timely manner;	
7. The most current governing board-approved LCAP is posted on the charter school's website; and8. The LCAP is submitted to the appropriate agencies.	
Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.	Note: Other circumstances and information could influence the rating and will be noted in the evaluation.

FORM REV. 9/27/2023 Page **40** of **40**